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Overview

- Context in which Child Fatality Review mechanisms operate
- Child homicide data in Australia
- Common findings of Review Panels
- Risk and Safety
- Review Mechanisms and the challenge of multi-system change in the current environment
- Bringing about complex multi-system change
Fatality reviews are ultimately intended to be a violence reduction and fatality prevention strategy

Internationally used mechanism to review systems and aim to move beyond looking for the faults of individual practitioners

- Policy and legislation
- Organisational operating procedures and practices

Identify **common** risks, concerns, poor policy and practice which can be reformed
This emphasis on highlighting system-wide areas of change, rather than just individual-level factors, can be seen in the community-level recommendations issued by contemporary DVFR teams. (Storer et al 2013)
Context in Which Child Death Review Mechanisms Operate

- Morally confronting and highly emotional social and political context
  - About ‘the unthinkable’
  - Culture of individualising blame to the offenders and to those individuals and agencies responsible for the care, wellbeing and protection of children
  - Belief in the community that all such types of deaths can and should be prevented
  - Values remain about privacy and sanctity of family
    - Parents’ rights and children’s rights
The unspeakable

- Preventable child deaths will continue to occur
- It is likely more children would die from preventable causes without existing intervention systems and professionals/practitioners
27 Victims of filicide

22 Perpetrators of filicide

- Perpetrator is parent or step parent of child

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 1</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-9</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-14</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-17</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
27 Filicide victims - 2 were Indigenous

Indigenous homicide across all age groups is 4 time higher than for non-Indigenous population

Notable that for Indigenous population stranger homicide is lower and consistently over time
COMMON FINDINGS OF CHILD FATALITY PANELS

MACRO/STRUCTURAL
- Legislation, policy and procedure did not support proactive response
- Relatively low levels of State expenditure on Social and Health Portfolios

ORGANISATIONAL
- Lack of information sharing or limited amount of information shared
- Large caseloads and risk assessments completed some time ago when not considered high
- Key agencies did not have all necessary information about family and risk
COMMON FINDINGS OF CHILD FATALITY PANELS

PRACTICE ORTHODOXIES
- Adult focused services limited engagement with child focused and child safety
- Adult focused services did not assess for risk to child or agency had no mechanism for assessing risk of harm to children or parent
- Assessment of risk patchy and variable across agencies and professionals
- CP lack of engagement of men, especially men identified as DFV perpetrators
FAMILIAL & INDIVIDUAL RISKS

- 3 Most Common reasons for CPS Referral
  - DFV,
  - Substance dependence,
  - Serious mental illness
- Parents or care givers actively deceived authorities
- Risk indicators: history of violence related crime
Non-offending parent has taken out civil protection order

Non-offending parent has moved out of home to increase children’s safety

Parent or primary carer is in receipt of counselling or treatment for substance misuse

Parent or primary carer is receiving support for serious mental ill health (including compliance with medication regime)

Parent or primary carer attending program as directed by authorities
Unlike legislative policies that frequently contain incentives or penalties to promote implementation, voluntary policy reforms rely on persuasion and community prioritization to implement the desired policy response (Storer et al 2013).
Large body of literature about the ‘implementation gap’ in aiming to bring about change or transforming how organisations and practitioners operate.

- Front line worker discretion - Lipsky

- Contemporary context: multiple layers of discretion and potential for variability - contracting out, government and not-for-profit services
BRINGING ABOUT SYSTEMIC CHANGE

- David Mandel & Associates
  Safe & Together: Shifting the Paradigm
  (www.endingviolence.com)

- System Practice Continuum Chart
  - Domestic violence informed child welfare system
• Domestic violence destructiveness
• Domestic violence incapacity

• Domestic violence blindness
• Domestic violence pre-competence

• Domestic violence competence
• Domestic violence proficiency
Destructiveness: Increase harm

Incapacity: Greater knowledge of risks and harm but focus tends to be on non-offending parent

Blindness: Practitioner awareness but no policy or specialist responses

Pre-competence: external stakeholder driving change still largely individual practitioner commitment

Competence: Tangible policy and specialist practice. Org commitment

Proficiency: Workforce development, practice orthodoxy, across whole of organisation
CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE

- Fatality review mechanism vary across jurisdictions for child and DFV fatalities
- Commonly they all seek system change to bring about prevention
- Draw out policy and system change from individual experiences
- Hard to measure: their effectiveness as other reforms and changes are co-occurring
Internationally, identify many common issues for those situations where preventable deaths occur

Implementation gap - difficulty to transform multi-system and individual practitioner responses

Influencing multi-system change
- Policy reform
- Workforce development - large scale
- Creating new practice orthodoxies across multiple organisations