


**Child Death Review**




**Overview**

This section sets out the work of the Office in relation to its child death review

function. Information on this work has been set out as follows:

* Background;
* The role of the Ombudsman in relation to child death reviews;
* The child death review process;
* Analysis of child death reviews;
* Issues identified in child death reviews;
* Recommendations;
* Major own motion investigations arising from child death reviews;
* Other mechanisms to prevent or reduce child deaths; and
* Stakeholder liaison.



**Background**

In November 2001, prompted by the coronial inquest into the death of a 15 year old

Aboriginal girl at the Swan Valley Nyoongar Community in 1999, the (then) Government announced a special inquiry into the response by Government agencies to complaints of family violence and child abuse in Aboriginal communities.


The resultant 2002 report, *Putting the Picture Together: Inquiry into Response by Government Agencies to Complaints of Family Violence and Child Abuse in Aboriginal Communities*, recommended that a Child Death Review Team be formed to review the deaths of children in Western Australia (Recommendation 146). Responding to the report the (then) Government established the Child Death Review Committee (**CDRC**), with its first meeting held in January 2003. The function of the CDRC was to review the operation of relevant policies, procedures and organisational systems of the (then) Department for Community Development in circumstances where a child had contact with the Department.

In August 2006, the (then) Government announced a functional review of the (then) Department for Community Development. Ms Prudence Ford was appointed the independent reviewer and presented the report, *Review of the Department for Community Development: Review Report* (**the Ford Report**) to the (then) Premier in January 2007. In considering the need for an independent, inter-agency child death review model, the Ford Report recommended that:

* The CDRC together with its current resources be relocated to the Ombudsman (Recommendation 31); and
* A small, specialist investigative unit be established in the Office to facilitate the independent investigation of complaints and enable the further examination, at

the discretion of the Ombudsman, of child death review cases where the child was known to a number of agencies (Recommendation 32).

Subsequently, the [*Parliamentary Commissioner Act 1971*](http://www.slp.wa.gov.au/legislation/statutes.nsf/main_mrtitle_674_homepage.html)was amended to enable the Ombudsman to undertake child death reviews, and on 30 June 2009, the child death review function in the Office commenced operation.



# The Role of the Ombudsman in relation to Child Death Reviews

The child death review function enables the Ombudsman to review investigable deaths. Investigable deaths are defined in the Ombudsman’s legislation, the [*Parliamentary Commissioner Act 1971*](http://www.slp.wa.gov.au/legislation/statutes.nsf/main_mrtitle_674_homepage.html) (see Section 19A(3)), and occur when a child dies in any of the following circumstances:

* In the two years before the date of the child’s death:
  + The Chief Executive Officer (**CEO**) of the Department for Child Protection and Family Support (**DCPFS**) had received information that raised concerns about the wellbeing of the child or a child relative of the child;
  + Under section 32(1) of the [*Children and Community Services Act 2004*](http://www.slp.wa.gov.au/legislation/statutes.nsf/main_mrtitle_132_homepage.html), the CEO had determined that action should be taken to safeguard or promote the wellbeing of the child or a child relative of the child; and



* + Any of the actions listed in section 32(1) of the [*Children and Community Services Act 2004*](http://www.slp.wa.gov.au/legislation/statutes.nsf/main_mrtitle_132_homepage.html) was done in respect of the child or a child relative of the child.


**Child Death Review**

* The child or a child relative of the child is in the CEO’s care or protection proceedings are pending in respect of the child or a child relative of the child.

In particular, the Ombudsman reviews the circumstances in which and why child deaths occur, identifies patterns and trends arising from child deaths and seeks to improve public administration to prevent or reduce child deaths.

In addition to reviewing investigable deaths, the Ombudsman can review other notified deaths. The Ombudsman also undertakes major own motion investigations arising from child death reviews.

In reviewing child deaths the Ombudsman has wide powers of investigation, including powers to obtain information relevant to the death of a child and powers to recommend improvements to public administration about ways to prevent or reduce child deaths across all agencies within the Ombudsman’s jurisdiction.



**The Child Death Review Process**

## Reportable child death



* The Coroner is informed of reportable deaths
* The Coroner notifies DCPFS of these deaths

## Ombudsman notified of child death

* DCPFS notifies the Ombudsman of all child deaths notified to it by the Coroner
* The Ombudsman assesses each notification and determines if the death is an investigable death or a non-investigable death

## Ombudsman conducts review



* All investigable deaths are reviewed

**Child Death Review**

* Non-investigable deaths can be reviewed

## Identifying patterns and trends

* Patterns and trends are identified, analysed and reported and also provide critical information to inform the selection and undertaking of major own motion investigations


## Improving public administration

The Ombudsman seeks to improve public administration to prevent or reduce child deaths, including making recommendations to prevent or reduce child deaths arising from reviews and major own motion investigations

## Implementation of recommendations and monitoring improvements

The Ombudsman actively monitors the implementation of recommendations as well as ensuring those improvements to public administration are contributing over time to preventing or reducing child deaths





**Analysis of Child Death Reviews**

By reviewing child deaths, the Ombudsman is able to identify, record and report on a range of information and analysis, including:

* The number of child death notifications and reviews;
* The comparison of investigable deaths over time;
* Demographic information identified from child death reviews;
* Circumstances in which child deaths have occurred;
* Social and environmental factors associated with investigable child deaths;
* Analysis of children in particular age groups; and
* Patterns, trends and case studies relating to child death reviews.

# Notifications and Reviews

DCPFS receives information from the Coroner on reportable deaths of children and notifies the Ombudsman of these deaths. The notification provides the Ombudsman with a copy of the information provided to DCPFS by the Coroner about the circumstances of the child’s death together with a summary outlining the past involvement of DCPFS with the child.



**Child Death Review**

The Ombudsman assesses all child death notifications received to determine if the death is, or is not, an investigable death. If the death is an investigable death, it must be reviewed. If the death is a non-investigable death, it can be reviewed. The extent of a review depends on a number of factors, including the circumstances surrounding the child’s death and the level of involvement of DCPFS or other public authorities in the child’s life. Confidentiality of the child, family members and other persons involved with the case is strictly observed.

The child death review process is intended to identify key learnings that will positively contribute to ways to prevent or reduce child deaths. The review does not set out to establish the cause of the child’s death; this is properly the role of the Coroner.

# Child death review cases prior to 30 June 2009

At the commencement of the child death review jurisdiction on 30 June 2009, 73 cases were transferred to the Ombudsman from the CDRC. These cases related to child deaths prior to 30 June 2009 that were reviewable by the CDRC and covered a range of years from 2005 to 2009. Almost all (67 or 92%) of the transferred cases were finalised in 2009-10 and six cases were carried over. Three of these transferred cases were finalised during 2010-11 and the remaining three were finalised in 2011-12.

# Number of child death notifications and reviews

During 2015-16, there were 41 child deaths that were investigable and subject to review from a total of 84 child death notifications received.

Notifications Received Reviewable/Investigable Deaths

**Total Number of Notifications Received 2009-10 to 2015-16**

140

118

120

106

100

83

84

84

80

76

70

60

41

40

37

41

31

33

24 24

20

0

2009-10

2010-11

2011-12

2012-13

2013-14

2014-15

2015-16

**Comparison of investigable deaths over time**



**Child Death Review**

The Ombudsman commenced the child death review function on 30 June 2009. Prior to that, child death reviews were undertaken by the CDRC with the first full year of operation of the CDRC in 2003-04.

The following table provides the number of deaths that were determined to be investigable by the Ombudsman or reviewable by the CDRC compared to all child deaths in Western Australia for the 12 years from 2003-04 to 2014-15. It is important to note that an investigable death is one which meets the legislative criteria and does not necessarily mean that the death was preventable, or that there has been any failure of the responsibilities of DCPFS.

Comparisons are also provided with the number of child deaths reported to the Coroner and deaths where the child or a relative of the child was known to DCPFS. It should be noted that children or their relatives may be known to DCPFS for a range of reasons.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Year** | **A** | **B** | **C** | **D** |
| **Total WA child deaths (excluding stillbirths)**  **(See Note 1)** | **Child deaths reported**  **to the Coroner (See Note 2)** | **Child deaths where the child or a relative of the child was known to DCPFS**  **(See Note 3)** | **Reviewable/ investigable child deaths**  **(See Note 4 and Note 5)** |
| 2003-04 | 177 | 92 | 42 | 19 |
| 2004-05 | 212 | 105 | 52 | 19 |
| 2005-06 | 210 | 96 | 55 | 14 |
| 2006-07 | 165 | 84 | 37 | 17 |
| 2007-08 | 187 | 102 | 58 | 30 |
| 2008-09 | 167 | 84 | 48 | 25 |
| 2009-10 | 201 | 93 | 52 | 24 |
| 2010-11 | 199 | 118 | 60 | 31 |
| 2011-12 | 144 | 76 | 49 | 41 |
| 2012-13 | 189 | 121 | 62 | 37 |
| 2013-14 | 151 | 75 | 40 | 24 |
| 2014-15 | 157 | 93 | 48 | 33 |
| 2015-16 | 165 | 92 | 61 | 41 |

**Abbreviations**



**Child Death Review**

DCPFS: Department for Child Protection and Family Support from 2012-13, Department for Child Protection for the years 2006-07 to 2011-12 and Department for Community Development (**DCD**) prior to 2006-07.

**Notes**

1. The data in Column A has been provided by the [Registry of Births, Deaths and Marriages.](http://www.bdm.dotag.wa.gov.au/) Child deaths within each year are based on the date of death rather than the date of registration of the death. The CDRC included numbers based on dates of registration of child deaths in their Annual Reports in the years 2005-06 through to 2007-08 and accordingly the figures in Column A will differ from the figures included in the CDRC Annual Reports for these years because of the difference between dates of child deaths and dates of registration of child deaths.
2. The data in Column B has been provided by the [Office of the State Coroner.](http://www.courts.dotag.wa.gov.au/O/office_of_the_state_coroner.aspx?uid=1255-5104-0098-6529) Reportable child deaths received by the Coroner are deaths reported to the Coroner of children under the age of 18 years pursuant to the provisions of the [*Coroners Act 1996*.](http://www.slp.wa.gov.au/legislation/statutes.nsf/main_mrtitle_201_homepage.html) The data in this section is based on the number of deaths of children that were reported to the Coroner during the year.
3. The data in Column C has been provided by DCPFS and is based on the date the notification was received by DCPFS. For 2003-04 to 2007-08 this information is the same as that included in the CDRC Annual Reports for the relevant year. In the 2005-06 to 2007-08 Annual Reports, the CDRC counted ‘Child death notifications where any form of contact had previously occurred with DCPFS: recent, historical, significant or otherwise’. In the 2003-04 and 2004-05 Annual Reports, the CDRC counted ‘Coroner notifications where the families had some form of contact with DCD’.
4. The data in Column D relates to child deaths considered reviewable by the CDRC up to 30 June 2009 or child deaths determined to be investigable by the Ombudsman from 30 June 2009. It is important to note that reviewable deaths and investigable deaths are not the same, however, they are similar in effect. The definition of reviewable death is contained in the Annual Reports of the CDRC. The term investigable death has the meaning given to it under section 19A(3) of the [*Parliamentary Commissioner Act 1971*.](http://www.slp.wa.gov.au/legislation/statutes.nsf/main_mrtitle_674_homepage.html)
5. The number of investigable child deaths shown in a year may vary, by a small amount, from the number shown in previous annual reports for that year. This occurs because, after the end of the reporting period, further information may become available that requires a reassessment of whether or not the death is an investigable death. Since the commencement of the child death review function this has occurred on one occasion resulting in the 2009-10 number of investigable deaths being revised from 23 to 24.

# Demographic information identified from child death reviews

Information is obtained on a range of characteristics of the children who have died including gender, Aboriginal status, age groups and residence in the metropolitan or regional areas. A comparison between investigable and non-investigable deaths can give insight into factors that may be able to be affected by DCPFS in order to prevent or reduce deaths.

The following charts show:



* The number of children in each group for each year from 2009-10 to 2015-16; and

**Child Death Review**

* For the period from 30 June 2009 to 30 June 2016, the percentage of children in each group for both investigable deaths and non-investigable deaths, compared to the child population in Western Australia.

## Males and females

As shown in the following charts, considering all seven years, male children are over-represented compared to the population for both investigable and non-investigable deaths.

2009-10 2010-11 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 2014-15 2015-16

**Number of Notifications by Gender**

100

80

82

63

60

40

20

0

44

50

53

55

41

42 43

32

36

31

29

20

Male

Female

Investigable Non-Investigable Child Population

**% of Notifications from 30.6.09 to 30.6.16 Compared to Child Population**

80%

62%

63%

60%

51%

49%

40%

20%

38% 37%

0%

Male

Female

Further analysis of the data shows that, considering all seven years, male children are over-represented for all age groups, but particularly for children under the age of one and children aged between six and 12 years.

## Aboriginal status

As shown in the following charts, Aboriginal children are over-represented compared to the population in all deaths and more so for investigable deaths.



**Child Death Review**

2009-10 2010-11 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 2014-15 2015-16

**Number of Notifications by Aboriginal Status**

80

61

60

49

40

20

0

38

35

41

18 24 25 26

31

32

33

34 32

16

20 21

20

20

23

22

Aboriginal

Non-Aboriginal

Unknown

Investigable Non-Investigable Child Population

**% of Notifications from 30.6.09 to 30.6.16 Compared to Child Population**

100%

80%

60%

40%

20%

0%

94%

80%

56%

44%

20%

6%

Aboriginal Non-Aboriginal

**Note**: Percentages for each group are based on the percentage of children whose Aboriginal status is known. Numbers may vary slightly from those previously reported as, during the course of a review, further information may become available on the Aboriginal status of the child.

Further analysis of the data shows that Aboriginal children are more likely than non-Aboriginal children to be under the age of one and living in regional and remote locations.

## Age groups

As shown in the following charts, children under one year and children aged between 13 and 17 are over-represented compared to the child population as a whole for both investigable and non-investigable deaths.

2009-10 2010-11 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 2014-15 2015-16

**Number of Notifications by Age of Child**

50

40

30

20

10

0

41

37

36

37

38

32

27

2629

26 26 26

22

24

14

14

10

13

5 5

6 4 6

9

1112 12

8 9

12 9 9

13

6

7

< 1 yr

1 yr

2-5 yrs

6-12 yrs

13-17 yrs

Investigable Non-Investigable Child Population

**% of Notifications from 30.6.09 to 30.6.16 Compared to Child Population**

40%

36%37%

38%

30%

20%

10%

0%

36%30%

28%

23%

13%

5%

7%

9%

10%

11%11%

6%

< 1 yr

1 yr

2-5 yrs

6-12 yrs

13-17 yrs

Further analysis of the data shows that Aboriginal children are more likely to be under the age of one than non-Aboriginal children. A more detailed analysis by age group is provided later in this section.



**Child Death Review**

## Location of residence

As shown in the following charts, children in regional locations are over-represented compared to the child population as a whole, and more so for investigable deaths.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Number of Notifications by Location of Child**  60 52 51 57 52  47  39 36 42 36 37  40 31 35  27  20 17 12  6 7 8 7 10 8  3 1 0 0 0 0 0  0  Metro Regional Remote Outside WA | | |
|  | 2009-10 2010-11 2011-12 2012-13 2013-14 2014-15 2015-16 |  |

**Note**: Outside WA includes children whose residence is not in Western Australia, but the child died in Western Australia. Numbers may vary slightly from those previously reported as, during the course of a review, further information may become available on the place of residence of the child.

Investigable Non-Investigable Child Population

**% of Notifications from 30.6.09 to 30.6.16 Compared to Child Population**

80%

60%

40%

20%

0%

72%

60%

58%

42%

40%

27%

Metro Regional/Remote

Further analysis of the data shows that 83% of Aboriginal children who died were living in regional or remote locations when they died. Most non-Aboriginal children who died lived in the metropolitan area but the proportion of non-Aboriginal children who died in regional areas is higher than would be expected based on the child population.

# Circumstances in which child deaths have occurred



The child death notification received by the Ombudsman includes general information on the circumstances of death. This is an initial indication of how the child may have died but is not the cause of death, which can only be determined by the Coroner. The Ombudsman’s review of the child death will normally be finalised prior to the Coroner’s determination of cause of death.

**Child Death Review**

The circumstances of death are categorised by the Ombudsman as:

* Sudden unexpected death of an infant – that is, infant deaths in which the likely cause of death cannot be explained immediately;
* Motor vehicle accident – the child may be a pedestrian, driver or passenger;
* Illness or medical condition;
* Suicide;
* Drowning;
* Accident other than motor vehicle – this includes accidents such as house fires, electrocution and falls;
* Alleged homicide; and
* Other.

The following chart shows the circumstances of notified child deaths for the period 30 June 2009 to 30 June 2016.



30

32

31

34

25

15

15

15

17

17

22

9

14

15

14%

12

17

7

10

11

11

18

9

4

3

3

6%

Drowning 12

4

8

5

3

4

4

2

9

4

3

3

4%

4

2

5

**Child Death Review**

**Circumstances of Child Deaths**

**2009-2016**

% of Total

Notifications

Sudden, unexpected

death of an infant

24

28

33%

20

Motor vehicle

accident

19%

11

Illness or medical

condition

14

13

Suicide

14%

Accident other

than motor vehicle

8

5%

0

6

Alleged homicide

1

Other

2

2

2

3

5%

2015-16 2014-15 2013-14 2012-13 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10

**Note 1**: In 2010-11, the ‘Other’ category includes eight children who died in the SIEV (Suspected Illegal Entry Vessel) 221 boat tragedy off the coast of Christmas Island in December 2010.

15

4

**Note 2**: Numbers may vary slightly from those previously reported as, during the course of a review, further information may become available on the circumstances in which the child died.

The two main circumstances of death for the 621 child death notifications received in the seven years from 30 June 2009 to 30 June 2016 are:

**Child Death Review**

* Sudden, unexpected deaths of infants, representing 33% of the total child death notifications from 30 June 2009 to 30 June 2016 (33% of the child death notifications received in 2009-10, 29% in 2010-11, 37% in 2011-12, 30% in 2012-13, 34% in 2013-14, 33% in 2014-15 and 36% in 2015-16); and
* Motor vehicle accidents, representing 19% of the total child death notifications from 30 June 2009 to 30 June 2016 (22% of the child death notifications received in 2009-10, 19% in 2010-11, 20% in 2011-12, 14% in 2012-13, 21% in 2013-14, 24% in 2014-15, and 18% in 2015-16).

The following chart provides a breakdown of the circumstances of death for child death notifications for investigable and non-investigable deaths.



Investigable Non-Investigable Total

**Circumstances of Child Deaths**

**% of Total Child Death Notifications from 30.6.09 to 30.6.16**

Sudden, unexpected

death of an infant

32%

33%

33%

Motor vehicle

accident

17%

21%

19%

Illness or medical

condition

12%

15%

14%

21%

Suicide

10%

14%

3%

Drowning

8%

6%

Accident other

than motor vehicle

6%

5%

5%

6%

Alleged homicide 2%

4%

3%

Other 6%

5%

There are three areas where the circumstance of death shows a higher proportion for investigable deaths than for deaths that are not investigable. These are:

* + Suicide;
  + Alleged homicide; and
  + Accident other than motor vehicle.

## Longer term trends in the circumstances of death

The CDRC also collated information on child deaths, using similar definitions, for the deaths it reviewed. The following tables show the trends over time in the circumstances of death. It should be noted that the Ombudsman’s data shows the information for all notifications received, including deaths that are not investigable, while the data from the CDRC relates only to completed reviews.

## Child Death Review Committee up to 30 June 2009 – see Note 1

The figures on the circumstances of death for 2003-04 to 2008-09 relate to cases where the review was finalised by the CDRC during the financial year.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Year** | **Accident – Non-vehicle** | **Accident - Vehicle** | **Acquired Illness** | **Asphyxiation**  **/Suffocation** | **Alleged Homicide (lawful or unlawful)** | **Immersion/ Drowning** | **SUDI \*** | **Suicide** | **Other** |
| 2003-04 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  |  |
| 2004-05 |  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 1 | 5 |  |  | 2 | 3 | 13 |  |  |
| 2006-07 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 4 | 1 |  |
| 2007-08 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2008-09 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 6 | 1 |  |

\* Sudden, unexpected death of an infant – includes Sudden Infant Death Syndrome



**Child Death Review**

## Ombudsman from 30 June 2009 – see Note 2

The figures on the circumstances of death from 2009-10 relate to all notifications received by the Ombudsman during the year including cases that are not investigable and are not known to DCPFS. These figures are much larger than previous years as the CDRC only reported on the circumstances of death for the cases that were reviewable and that were finalised during the financial year.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Year** | **Accident Other Than Motor Vehicle** | **Motor Vehicle Accident** | **Illness or Medical Condition** | **Asphyxiation**  **/Suffocation** | **Alleged Homicide** | **Drowning** | **SUDI \*** | **Suicide** | **Other** |
| 2009-10 | 4 | 17 | 7 |  | 5 | 5 | 25 | 9 | 4 |
| 2010-11 | 9 | 22 | 17 |  | 2 | 8 | 34 | 11 | 15 |
| 2011-12 | 2 | 17 | 12 |  | 4 | 4 | 31 | 11 | 2 |
| 2012-13 | 8 | 15 | 15 |  | 3 | 12 | 32 | 18 | 3 |
| 2013-14 | 4 | 15 | 9 |  | 3 | 3 | 24 | 10 | 2 |
| 2014-15 | 4 | 20 | 14 |  |  | 3 | 28 | 13 | 2 |
| 2015-16 | 3 | 15 | 11 |  | 6 | 4 | 30 | 14 | 1 |

\* Sudden, unexpected death of an infant – includes Sudden Infant Death Syndrome

**Note 1:** The source of the CDRC’s data is the CDRC’s Annual Reports for the relevant year. For 2007-08, only partial data is included in the Annual Report. The remainder of the data for 2007-08 and all data for 2008-09 has been obtained from the CDRC’s records transferred to the Ombudsman. Types of circumstances are as used in the CDRC’s Annual Reports.

**Note 2:** The data for the Ombudsman is based on the notifications received by the Ombudsman during the year. The types of circumstances are as used in the Ombudsman’s Annual Reports. Numbers may vary slightly from those previously reported as, during the course of a review, further information may become available on the circumstances in which the child died.






**Child Death Review**

# Social and environmental factors associated with investigable child deaths

A number of social and environmental factors affecting the child or their family may impact on the wellbeing of the child, such as:

* Family and domestic violence;
* Drug or substance use;
* Alcohol use;
* Parenting;
* Homelessness; and
* Parental mental health issues.

Reviews of investigable deaths often highlight the impact of these factors on the circumstances leading up to the child's death and, where this occurs, these factors are recorded to enable an analysis of patterns and trends to assist in considering ways to prevent or reduce future deaths.



It is important to note that the existence of these factors is associative. They do not necessarily mean that the removal of this factor would have prevented the death of a child or that the existence of the factor necessarily represents a failure by DCPFS or another public authority.

The following table shows the percentage of child death reviews associated with particular social and environmental factors for the period 30 June 2009 to 30 June 2016.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Social or Environmental Factor** | **% of Finalised Reviews from 30.6.09 to 30.6.16** |
| Family and domestic violence | 55% |
| Parenting | 51% |
| Alcohol use | 37% |
| Drug or substance use | 33% |
| Homelessness | 21% |
| Parental mental health issues | 20% |

One of the features of the investigable deaths reviewed is the co-existence of a number of these social and environmental factors. The following observations can be made:

* + Where family and domestic violence was present:
    - Parenting was a co-existing factor in over two-thirds of the cases;



* + - Alcohol use was a co-existing factor in over half of the cases;
    - Drug or substance use was a co-existing factor in nearly half of the cases;

**Child Death Review**

* + - Homelessness was a co-existing factor in nearly a third of the cases; and
    - Parental mental health issues were a co-existing factor in over a quarter of the cases.
  + Where alcohol use was present:
    - Parenting was a co-existing factor in over three quarters of the cases;
    - Family and domestic violence was a co-existing factor in over three quarters of the cases;
    - Drug or substance use was a co-existing factor in over half of the cases; and
    - Homelessness was a co-existing factor in over a third of the cases.

# Reasons for contact with DCPFS

In 2015-16, the majority of children who were known to DCPFS were known because of contact relating to concerns for a child’s wellbeing or for family and domestic violence. Other reasons included financial problems, parental support, access, fostering or adoption enquiries and homelessness.

# Analysis of children in particular age groups

In examining the child death notifications by their age groups the Office is able to identify patterns that appear to be linked to childhood developmental phases and associated care needs. This age-related focus has enabled the Office to identify particular characteristics and circumstances of death that have a high incidence in each age group and refine the reviews to examine areas where improvements to public administration may prevent or reduce these child deaths. The following section identifies four groupings of children: under one year (**infants**); children aged 1 to 5;

children aged 6 to 12; and children aged 13 to 17, and demonstrates the learning and outcomes from this age-related focus.



**Child Death Review**

## Deaths of infants

Of the 621 child death notifications received by the Ombudsman from 30 June 2009 to 30 June 2016, there were 228 (37%) related to deaths of infants. The characteristics of infants who died are shown in the following chart.

2015-16 2014-15 2013-14 2012-13 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10

**Characteristics of Infants (Children under One Year)**

25

Male

1718

24

20

15

30

11

12

Female

8

11

12

13

12

12

Aboriginal

6 7

10

9

9

12

11

13

Non-Aboriginal

6

9

10

9

16

11

11

Unknown

14

10

18

9

16

20

Metro

15

15

17

17

18

19

Regional

10

10

10

11

18

7

15

4

5

Remote

1

2

3

4

4

0

0

Outside WA

0

0

0

0

3

**Note**: Numbers may vary slightly from those previously reported as, during the course of a review, further information may become available.

Further analysis of the data shows that, for these infant deaths, there was an over-representation compared to the child population for:

* + Males – 73% of investigable infant deaths and 61% of non-investigable infant deaths were male compared to 51% in the child population;
  + Aboriginal children – 65% of investigable deaths and 32% of non-investigable deaths were Aboriginal children compared to 6% in the child population; and
  + Children living in regional or remote locations – 56% of investigable infant deaths and 40% of non-investigable deaths of infants, living in Western Australia, were children living in regional or remote locations compared to 27% in the child population.

An examination of the patterns and trends of the circumstances of infant deaths showed that of the 228 infant deaths, 204 (89%) were categorised as sudden, unexpected deaths of an infant and the majority of these (136) appear to have occurred while the infant had been placed for sleep. There were a small number of other deaths as shown in the following charts.



**Child Death Review**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Circumstances of Infant Deaths (Children under One Year)**  % of Total Notifications  30  28  Sudden, unexpected 24  death of an infant 32 90%  31  34  25  5  0  2  Alleged homicide 1 4%  0  0  2  0  0  0  Drowning 3 2%  0  2  0  1  1  Motor vehicle 0  accident 1 2%  1  0  0  0  0  Accident other 0  than motor vehicle 0 1%  0  2  0  0  0  0  Other 0 1%  0  3  0  2015-16 2014-15 2013-14 2012-13  2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 |  | **Circumstances of Infant Deaths**  **% of Deaths from 30.6.09 to 30.6.16**  88%  Sudden, unexpected 90% death of an infant  90%  8%  Alleged homicide 2%  4%  0%  Drowning 4%  2%  3%  Motor vehicle  accident 1%  2%  1%  Accident other  than motor vehicle 1%  1%  0%  Other 2%  1%  Investigable Non-Investigable Total |

**Note**: Numbers may vary slightly from those previously reported as, during the course of a review, further information may become available on the circumstances in which the child died.

Eighty four deaths of infants were determined to be investigable deaths.

**Deaths of children aged 1 to 5 years**



**Child Death Review**

Of the 621 child death notifications received by the Ombudsman from 30 June 2009 to 30 June 2016, there were 125 (20%) related to children aged from 1 to 5 years.

The characteristics of children aged 1 to 5 are shown in the following chart.

2015-16 2014-15 2013-14 2012-13 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10

**Characteristics of Children Aged 1-5**

8

6

9

Male

11

8

20

9

7

10

5

Female

11

8

8

5

2

1

1

Aboriginal

5

5

5

3

10

8

Non-

Aboriginal

4

4

8

20

6

3

7

9

Unknown

13

3

3

5

12

11

Metro

9

9

13

11

10

3

4

4

Regional

8

7

14

4

0

1

1

Remote 1

0

1

0

0

0

0

Outside WA 0

0

2

0

**Note**: Numbers may vary slightly from those previously reported as, during the course of a review, further information may become available.

Further analysis of the data shows that, for these deaths, there was an over-representation compared to the child population for:

* + Males – 63% of investigable deaths and 54% of non-investigable deaths of children aged 1 to 5 were male compared to 51% in the child population;
  + Aboriginal children – 50% of investigable deaths and 10% of non-investigable deaths of children aged 1 to 5 were Aboriginal children compared to 6% in the child population; and
  + Children living in regional or remote locations – 45% of investigable deaths and 37% of non-investigable deaths of children aged 1 to 5, living in Western Australia, were children living in regional or remote locations compared to 27% in the child population.

As shown in the following chart, illness or medical condition is the most common circumstance of death for this age group (31%), followed by motor vehicle accidents (26%) and drowning (18%).



**Child Death Review**

**Circumstances of Deaths**

**Children Aged 1-5**

% of Total Notifications

8

6

Illness or medical

condition

4

8 31%

5

7

1

3

6

Motor vehicle

accident

5

0

26%

7

5

6

3

2

3

Drowning

6

18%

2

5

2

1

1

1

2

Accident other

than motor vehicle

5

12%

3

2

0

0

0

Alleged homicide

0

1

1

1

2%

0

0

Other

1

1

2

11%

7

2

2015-16 2014-15

2011-12 2010-11

2013-14

2009-10

2012-13

**Circumstances of Deaths Aged 1-5**

**% of Deaths from 30.6.09 to 30.6.16**

Illness or medical

condition

26%

33%

31%

Motor vehicle

accident

32%

23%

26%

Drowning

13%

21%

18%

Accident other

than motor vehicle

16%

10%

12%

3%

Alleged homicide 2%

2%

Other

10%

11%

11%

Investigable Non-Investigable Total

**Note**: Numbers may vary slightly from those previously reported as, during the course of a review, further information may become available on the circumstances in which the child died.

Thirty eight deaths of children aged 1 to 5 years were determined to be investigable deaths.

**Deaths of children aged 6 to 12 years**



**Child Death Review**

Of the 621 child death notifications received by the Ombudsman from 30 June 2009 to 30 June 2016, there were 69 (11%) related to children aged from 6 to 12 years.

The characteristics of children aged 6 to 12 are shown in the following chart.

2015-16 2014-15 2013-14 2012-13 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10

**Characteristics of Children Aged 6-12**

4

10

6

Male

4

4

9

8

3

3

0

Female

3

5

5

5

2

5

1

Aboriginal

2

2

1

2

5

4

1

Non-Aboriginal

2

5

9

9

4

4

Unknown

5

2

2

2

3

3

Metro

4

4

0

5

9

2

2

7

Regional

3

7

4

3

1

Remote

2

2

2

2

0

1

Outside WA

0

0

0

0

0

0

3

**Note**: Numbers may vary slightly from those previously reported as, during the course of a review, further information may become available.

Further analysis of the data shows that, for these deaths, there was an over-representation compared to the child population for:

* + Males – 54% of investigable deaths and 72% of non-investigable deaths of children aged 6 to 12 were male compared to 51% in the child population;
  + Aboriginal children – 50% of investigable deaths and 12% of non-investigable deaths of children aged 6 to 12 were Aboriginal children compared to 6% in the child population; and
  + Children living in regional or remote locations – 73% of investigable deaths and 44% of non-investigable deaths of children aged 6 to 12, living in Western Australia, were children living in regional or remote locations compared to 27% in the child population.

As shown in the following chart, motor vehicle accidents are the most common circumstance of death for this age group (41%), followed by illness or medical condition (28%) and drowning (10%).



**Child Death Review**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Circumstances of Deaths Children Aged 6-12**  % of T Notifica  3  7  Motor vehicle 2 5 41%  accident 3  3  5  2  3  Illness or medical 2  condition 1 2 28%  4  5  0  1  Drowning 0 1 10%  2  1  2  1  1  Accident other 0 1 6% than motor vehicle 0  0  1  1  1  Suicide 1 6%  1  0  0  0  0  0  Alleged homicide 0 3%  0  2  0  0  0  0  Other 0 7%  0  1  4  0  2015-16 2014-15 2013-14 2012-13  2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 | otal tions |  | **Circumstances of Deaths Aged 6-12**  **% of Deaths from 30.6.09 to 30.6.16**  38%  Motor vehicle 42%  accident  41%  23%  Illness or medical 30% condition  28%  4%  Drowning 14%  10%  4%  Accident other 7% than motor vehicle  6%  15%  Suicide 0%  6%  8%  Alleged homicide 0%  3%  8%  Other 7%  7%  Investigable Non-Investigable Total |

**Note**: Numbers may vary slightly from those previously reported as, during the course of a review, further information may become available on the circumstances in which the child died.

Twenty six deaths of children aged 6 to 12 years were determined to be investigable deaths.

**Deaths of children aged 13 – 17 years**



**Child Death Review**

Of the 621 child death notifications received by the Ombudsman from 30 June 2009 to 30 June 2016, there were 199 (32%) related to children aged from 13 to 17 years.

The characteristics of children aged 13 to 17 are shown in the following chart.



2015-16 2014-15 2013-14 2012-13 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10

**Characteristics of Children Aged 13-17**

18

20

17

Male

9

24

23

12

8

6

7

Female

14

17

14

10

5

7

8

Aboriginal

9

6

9

4

13

9

9

Non-Aboriginal

16

12

16

14

8

10

7

Unknown

8

13

12

4

12

12

9

Metro

23

12

16

16

11

14

15

Regional

8

12

19

4

3

0

0

Remote

7

2

2

1

0

0

0

Outside WA 0

0

0

1

**Note**: Numbers may vary slightly from those previously reported as, during the course of a review, further information may become available.

Further analysis of the data shows that, for these deaths, there was an over-representation compared to the child population for:

* + Males – 54% of investigable deaths and 67% of non-investigable deaths of children aged 13 to 17 were male compared to 51% in the child population;
  + Aboriginal children – 52% of investigable deaths and 16% of non-investigable deaths of children aged 13 to 17 were Aboriginal compared to 6% in the child population; and
  + Children living in regional or remote locations – 61% of investigable deaths and 41% of non-investigable deaths of children aged 13 to 17, living in Western Australia, were living in regional or remote locations compared to 27% in the child population.

As shown in the following chart, suicide is the most common circumstance of death for this age group (41%), particularly for investigable deaths, followed by motor vehicle accidents (29%) and illness or medical condition (14%).



**Child Death Review**

**Circumstances of Deaths**

**Children Aged 13-17**

% of Total Notifications

13

12

Suicide

9

9

11

11

17 41%

6

8

Motor vehicle

accident

6

6

8

9

29%

14

1

5

Illness or medical

condition

3

5

14%

1

6

6

1

1

Accident other

than motor vehicle

1

1

2

3

7%

4

0

1

1

Alleged homicide 1

1

2

2

4%

Drowning

0

0

1

0

0

2

2%

1

1

Other

0

1

1

1

2

4%

2

2015-16 2014-15

2011-12 2010-11

2013-14

2009-10

2012-13

**Circumstances of Deaths Aged 13-17**

**% of Deaths from 30.6.09 to 30.6.16**

53%

Suicide

33%

41%

Motor vehicle

accident

19%

35%

29%

Illness or medical

condition

14%

13%

14%

6%

Accident other

than motor vehicle 7%

7%

6%

Alleged homicide 3%

4%

0%

Drowning 3%

2%

1%

Other 6%

4%

Investigable Non-Investigable Total

**Note**: Numbers may vary slightly from those previously reported as, during the course of a review, further information may become available on the circumstances in which the child died.

Eighty three deaths of children aged 13 to 17 years were determined to be investigable deaths.

**Suicide by young people**

**Child Death Review**

Of the 86 young people who apparently took their own lives from 30 June 2009 to 30 June 2016:

* Four were under 13 years old;
* Four were 13 years old;
* Nine were 14 years old;
* Twenty were 15 years old;
* Twenty were 16 years old; and
* Twenty nine were 17 years old.

The characteristics of the young people who apparently took their own lives are shown in the following chart.



2015-16 2014-15 2013-14 2012-13 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10

**Characteristics of Young People who Suicide**

8

9

6

Male 10

4

7

7

6

4

4

Female

8

7

4

2

5

Aboriginal

3

3

7

7

4

2

6

3

Non-Aboriginal

3

3

5

5

6

3

3

2

Unknown

6

5

4

1

4

6

4

Metro

11

6

5

8

5

7

7

Regional

2

4

5

0

3

0

Remote

1

1

1

5

1

**Note**: Numbers may vary slightly from those previously reported as, during the course of a review, further information may become available.

Further analysis of the data shows that, for these deaths, there was an over-representation compared to the child population for:

* + Males – 52% of investigable deaths and 68% of non-investigable deaths were male compared to 51% in the child population;
  + Aboriginal young people – for the 62 apparent suicides by young people where information on the Aboriginal status of the young person was available, 67% of the investigable deaths and 15% of non-investigable deaths were Aboriginal young people compared to 6% in the child population; and
  + Young people living in regional and remote locations – the majority of apparent suicides by young people occurred in the metropolitan area, but 65% of investigable suicides by young people and 29% of non-investigable suicides by young people were young people who were living in regional or remote locations compared to 27% in the child population.

# Deaths of Aboriginal children

Of the 408 child death notifications received from 30 June 2009 to 30 June 2016, where the Aboriginal status of the child was known, 150 (37%) of the children were identified as Aboriginal.



For the notifications received, the following chart demonstrates:

**Child Death Review**

* + Over the seven year period from 30 June 2009 to 30 June 2016, the majority of Aboriginal children who died were male (63%). For 2015-16, 62% of Aboriginal children who died were male;
  + Most of the Aboriginal children who died were under the age of one or aged 13-17; and
  + The deaths of Aboriginal children living in regional communities far outnumber the deaths of Aboriginal children living in the metropolitan area. Over the seven year period, 83% of Aboriginal children who died lived in regional or remote communities.



**Child Death Review**



2015-16 2014-15 2013-14 2012-13 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10

**Characteristics of Aboriginal Children who Died**

13

12

14

Male

13

12

19

11

8

8

2

Female

13

13

5

7

12

7

6

Under 1 yr

10

12

9

9

0

1 yr

1

1

2

1

2

1

1

1

0

2-5 yrs

3

4

3

2

2

5

1

6-12 yrs

2

2

1

2

5

7

8

13-17 yrs

6

9

9

4

3

5

1

Metro

4

4

5

4

8

10

Regional

12

9

13

13

13

10

2

5

Remote

10

8

6

5

**Note**: Numbers may vary slightly from those previously reported as, during the course of a review, further information may become available.

As shown in the following chart, sudden, unexpected deaths of infants (40%), suicide (21%), and motor vehicle accidents (17%) are the largest circumstance of death categories for the 150 Aboriginal child death notifications received in the seven years from 30 June 2009 to 30 June 2016.



**Child Death Review**

**Circumstances of Deaths**

**Aboriginal Children**

% of Total Notifications

10

7

Sudden, unexpected

death of an infant

5

9

9

9

40%

11

5

Suicide

3

3

7

7

21%

4

2

2

4

Motor vehicle

accident

5

1

17%

4

4

6

Illness or medical

condition

1

1

2

2

3

7%

1

1

2

0

Accident other

than motor vehicle

0

Alleged homicide

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

3

6%

3

5%

0

0

0

0

Other

1

1

1

2%

0

0

0

0

Drowning 0 2%

1

1

1

2015-16 2014-15

2011-12 2010-11

2013-14

2009-10

2012-13

**Circumstances of Aboriginal Deaths**

**% of Deaths from 30.6.09 to 30.6.16**

33%

Sudden, unexpected

death of an infant

57%

40%

26%

Suicide 7%

21%

Motor vehicle

accident

14%

26%

17%

10%

Illness or medical

condition 0%

7%

6%

Accident other

than motor vehicle 5%

6%

6%

Alleged homicide 2%

5%

2%

Drowning 3%

2%

3%

Other 0%

2%

Investigable Non-Investigable Total

**Note**: Numbers may vary slightly from those previously reported as, during the course of a review, further information may become available on the circumstances in which the child died.



# Patterns, trends and case studies relating to child death reviews

## Deaths of infants

**Sleep-related infant deaths**

Through the undertaking of child death reviews, the Office identified a need to undertake an own motion investigation into the number of deaths that had occurred after infants had been placed to sleep, referred to as ‘sleep-related infant deaths’.

The investigation principally involved the Department of Health (**DOH**) but also involved the (then) Department for Child Protection and the (then) Department for Communities. The objectives of the investigation were to analyse all sleep-related infant deaths notified to the Office, consider the results of our analysis in conjunction with the relevant research and practice literature, undertake consultation with key stakeholders and, from this analysis, research and consultation, recommend ways the departments could prevent or reduce sleep-related infant deaths.

The investigation found that the DOH had undertaken a range of work to contribute to safe sleeping practices in Western Australia, however, there was still important work to be done. This work particularly included establishing a comprehensive statement on safe sleeping that would form the basis for safe sleeping advice to parents, including advice on modifiable risk factors, that is sensitive and appropriate to both Aboriginal and culturally and linguistically diverse communities and is consistently applied state-wide by health care professionals and non-government organisations at the antenatal, hospital-care and post-hospital stages. This statement and concomitant policies and practices should also be adopted, as relevant, by the (then) Department for Child Protection and the (then) Department for Communities.



**Child Death Review**

The investigation also found that a range of risk factors were prominent in sleep-related infant deaths reported to the Office. Most of these risk factors are potentially modifiable and therefore present opportunities for the departments to assist parents, grandparents and carers to modify these risk factors and reduce or prevent sleep-related infant deaths.

The report of the investigation, titled [*Investigation into ways that State Government*](http://www.ombudsman.wa.gov.au/Publications/Documents/reports/OWA-Sleep-related-infant-deaths-Report-71112.pdf)[*departments and authorities can prevent or reduce sleep-related infant deaths*](http://www.ombudsman.wa.gov.au/Publications/Documents/reports/OWA-Sleep-related-infant-deaths-Report-71112.pdf)*,* was tabled in Parliament in November 2012. The report made 23 recommendations about ways to prevent or reduce sleep-related infant deaths, all of which were accepted by the agencies involved.

The implementation of the recommendations is actively monitored by the Office.



|  |
| --- |
| **Case Study** |
| **Infant A**  Infant A died at the age of three weeks in the circumstance of co-sleeping. Prior to Infant A’s birth, concerns were reported to DCPFS relating to alleged family and domestic violence and alleged parental illicit drug and alcohol use. DCPFS also became aware that Infant A’s mother smoked. DCPFS worked with Infant A’s parents to undertake a Safety and Wellbeing Assessment and to develop a safety plan for Infant A who was then discharged to home after birth.  DCPFS’s *Casework Practice Manual Chapter 3.2 Safe Infant Sleeping* (**the Manual**) identifies that there is an increased risk of sleep-related infant death when co-sleeping occurs with a parent/carer who has consumed alcohol or used illicit drugs, taken medication which may alter consciousness or cause drowsiness, or is a smoker. The Manual directs that where these risk factors are identified, child protection workers must, in the first four weeks of the infant’s life, provide the parents/carers with information on the risks of co-sleeping. However, there had been a missed opportunity to visit Infant A at home to assess the sleep arrangements and discuss the increased risk of sleep-related infant death when co-sleeping, in the context of the concerns relating to the parents’ alleged illicit drug and alcohol use, and that Infant A’s mother smoked. |

**Deaths of children aged 1 to 5 years Deaths from drowning**






**Child Death Review**

The *Royal Life Saving Society – Australia: National Drowning Report 2014* (available

at [www.royallifesaving.com.au](http://www.royallifesaving.com.au/)) states that:

*Children under five continue to account for a large proportion of drowning deaths in swimming pools, particularly home swimming pools. It is important to ensure that home pools are fenced with a correctly installed compliant pool fence with a self-closing and self-latching gate…* (page 8).



**Major Own Motion Investigation**

Through the undertaking of child death reviews, including the prevalence of drowning as a circumstance of death for children under one year of age and children between one and five years of age, the Office identified a need to commence a major own motion investigation into ways to prevent or reduce child deaths by drowning. In 2015-16, the Office undertook significant work on this major own motion investigation. The report of this major own motion investigation will be tabled in Parliament in 2016-17.

## Deaths of children aged 6 to 12 years

The Ombudsman’s examination of reviews of deaths of children aged six to 12 years has identified the critical nature of certain core health and education needs. Where these children are in the CEO’s care, inter-agency cooperation between DCPFS, the DOH and the Department of Education (**DOE**) in care planning is necessary to ensure the child’s health and education needs are met.

## Care planning for children in the CEO’s care

Through the undertaking of child death reviews, the Office identified a need to undertake an investigation of planning for children in the care of the CEO of the (then) Department for Child Protection – a particularly vulnerable group of children in our community.

This investigation involved the (then) Department for Child Protection, the DOH and the DOE and considered, among other things, the relevant provisions of the *Children and Community Services Act 2004*, the internal policies of each of these departments along with the recommendations arising from the Ford Report.

The investigation found that in the five years since the introduction of the *Children and Community Services Act 2004*, these three Departments had worked cooperatively to operationalise the requirements of the Act. In short, significant and pleasing progress on improved planning for children in care had been achieved, however, there was still work to be done, particularly in relation to the timeliness of preparing care plans and ensuring that care plans fully incorporate health and education needs, other wellbeing issues, the wishes and views of children in care and that they are regularly reviewed.



**Child Death Review**

The report of the investigation, titled [*Planning for children in care: An Ombudsman’s*](http://www.ombudsman.wa.gov.au/Publications/Documents/reports/OWA_Care_Planning_Report_Nov11.pdf)[*own motion investigation into the administration of the care planning provisions of the*](http://www.ombudsman.wa.gov.au/Publications/Documents/reports/OWA_Care_Planning_Report_Nov11.pdf)[*Children and Community Services Act 2004*](http://www.ombudsman.wa.gov.au/Publications/Documents/reports/OWA_Care_Planning_Report_Nov11.pdf), was tabled in Parliament in November 2011.

The report made 23 recommendations that were designed to assist with the work to be done, all of which were agreed by the relevant Departments.

The implementation of the recommendations is actively monitored by the Office.

## Deaths of primary school aged children from motor vehicle accidents

In 2015-16, the Ombudsman received three notifications of the deaths of children aged six to 12 years in the circumstances of motor vehicle accidents. All of these deaths occurred in regional Western Australia.

The Road Safety Commission’s Fact Sheet *Seat Belts* (available at [www.rsc.wa.gov.au/](http://www.rsc.wa.gov.au/)) states that:

*Drivers and passengers travelling unrestrained in a car are at least 10 times more likely to be killed in a road crash than those wearing a seat belt.*

*Wearing a seat belt may reduce the chance of being killed in a road accident by up to 50%.*

*…In rural areas 14% of those [killed or seriously injured] were unrestrained, compared to 5% of those [killed or seriously injured] in the Metropolitan area* (page 1)*.*

## Deaths of children aged 13 to 17 years Suicide by young people

Of the child death notifications received by the Office since the commencement of

the Office’s child death review responsibility, nearly a third related to children aged 13 to 17 years old. Of these children, suicide was the most common circumstance of death, accounting for over 40% of deaths. Furthermore, and of serious concern, Aboriginal children were very significantly over-represented in the number of young people who died by suicide. For these reasons, the Office decided to undertake a major own motion investigation into ways that State Government departments and authorities can prevent or reduce suicide by young people.

The objectives of the investigation were to analyse, in detail, deaths of young people who died by suicide notified to the Office, comprehensively consider the results of this analysis in conjunction with the relevant research and practice literature, undertake consultation with government and non-government stakeholders and, if required, recommend ways that agencies can prevent or reduce suicide by young people.

The Office found that State Government departments and authorities had already undertaken a significant amount of work that aimed to prevent and reduce suicide by young people in Western Australia, however, there was still more work to be done. The Office found that this work included practical opportunities for individual agencies to enhance their provision of services to young people. Critically, as the reasons for suicide by young people are multi-factorial and cross a range of government agencies, the Office also found that this work included the development of a collaborative, inter-agency approach to preventing suicide by young people. In addition to the Office’s findings and recommendations, the comprehensive level of data and analysis contained in the report of the investigation was intended to be a valuable new resource for State Government departments and authorities to inform their planning and work with young people. In particular, the Office’s analysis suggested this planning and work target four groups of young people that the Office identified.



**Child Death Review**

The report of the investigation, titled [*Investigation into ways that State government*](http://www.ombudsman.wa.gov.au/Publications/Documents/reports/Suicide-by-young-people/Ombudsman-WA-Suicide-by-Young-People-Investigation-Report-2014.pdf)[*departments and authorities can prevent or reduce suicide by young people*](http://www.ombudsman.wa.gov.au/Publications/Documents/reports/Suicide-by-young-people/Ombudsman-WA-Suicide-by-Young-People-Investigation-Report-2014.pdf), was tabled in Parliament in April 2014. The report made 22 recommendations about ways to prevent or reduce suicide by young people, all of which were accepted by the agencies involved.

The implementation of the recommendations is actively monitored by the Office.

**Identification of good practice**



**Child Death Review**

Child death reviews may identify examples of good practice by agencies as shown in the following case study.



**Case**


**Study**

**Child B**

Child B was born with complex health care needs. While attending primary school, Child B received support from the school (DOE), the school nurse (DOH), the hospital medical team (DOH) and the Disability Services Commission (**DSC**) Local Area Coordinator. Support for this child was discussed at an inter-agency meeting and a decision was made to make a referral to DCPFS for assessment and support. While DCPFS assessed these concerns and developed a safety plan, DOE, DOH and DSC continued to work collaboratively to support Child B and the child’s family.




Child B subsequently died due to his medical condition.

The Ombudsman’s review of this case identified good practice related to inter-agency collaboration and support to Child B and the child’s family.




**Issues Identified in Child Death Reviews**

The following are the types of issues identified when undertaking child death reviews.



It is important to note that:

* Issues are not identified in every child death review; and
* When an issue has been identified, it does not necessarily mean that the issue is related to the death of a child.
* Not undertaking sufficient inter-agency communication to enable effective case management and collaborative responses.
* Not adequately meeting policies and procedures relating to case allocation.
* Not including sufficient cultural consideration in child protection assessment, planning and intervention.
* Not adequately meeting policies and procedures relating to Safety and Wellbeing Assessments.
* Not adequately meeting policies and procedures relating to the Signs of Safety Framework.
* Not adequately meeting policies and procedures in relation to pre-birth planning.



**Recommendations**

* Missed opportunities to promote infant safe sleeping by providing appropriate information, including risks of co-sleeping associated with parental alcohol use and/or drug use.
* Not adequately meeting policies and procedures in relation to family and domestic violence.
* Not adequately meeting policies and procedures in relation to the assessment of parental drug and alcohol use.
* Missed opportunities to recognise and respond to child and adolescent drug and alcohol use.
* Missed opportunities to recognise poor school attendance as a cumulative indicator of child safety and wellbeing concerns.
* Not undertaking sufficient inter-agency communication to enable effective case management and collaborative responses to students whose whereabouts are unknown.
* Not adequately meeting policies and procedures in relation to student attendance and behaviour management.
* Missed opportunity to provide information relating to pool fencing requirements.
* Not meeting recordkeeping requirements.

In response to the issues identified, the Ombudsman makes recommendations to prevent or reduce child deaths. The following recommendations were made by the Ombudsman in 2015-16 arising from child death reviews (certain recommendations may be de-identified to ensure confidentiality).



**Child Death Review**



* That DCPFS takes all reasonable steps to achieve timely compliance with the Department's assessment policies and practice requirements in implementing and monitoring safety planning to promote the wellbeing of an unborn child/infant.
* That DCPFS undertakes a home visit, where appropriate and possible, to assess infant sleeping arrangements and provide parents with safe infant sleeping information, in accordance with the Department's Casework Practice Manual, *Chapter 3.2 Safe Infant Sleeping*, when working with parents who smoke tobacco or are alleged to have a history of alcohol or drug abuse or illegal drug use is alleged to be occurring currently.
* That DCPFS considers, in accordance with the definition of ‘at risk’ youth as outlined in the *At Risk Youth Strategy 2015-2018*, the development of guidelines to recognise alleged alcohol and drug use by children and adolescents as an indicator of cumulative safety and wellbeing concerns warranting assessment and action where appropriate.
* That DCPFS takes all reasonable steps to recognise where poor school attendance may be a cumulative indicator of child safety and wellbeing concerns.
* That DCPFS continues to improve interagency communication and collaboration associated with the management of the DOE’s *Students Whose Whereabouts is Unknown list* (**SWU List**) in the context of child safety and wellbeing concerns.
* That the DOE review of the *Child Protection* policy includes consideration of poor school attendance as a cumulative indicator of safety and wellbeing concerns warranting consideration of consultation with and/or referral to DCPFS.
* That DOE takes all reasonable steps to achieve compliance with the *Student Attendance* policy and *Case management of persistent absences* policy.
* That DOE takes all reasonable steps to ensure that, prior to placing a student on the SWU List, child safety and wellbeing concerns are recognised, responded to and relevant interagency communication and collaboration occurs.
* That DOH considers the development of procedural guidelines for assessing and responding to the safety and wellbeing of children and adolescents presenting to health services where alcohol and drug use issues have been identified.



* That DOH continues to work with DOE to develop opportunities for interagency communication and collaboration to identify children on the SWU List.

**Child Death Review**

* That DCPFS, in consultation with the Department's Aboriginal Engagement and Coordination Directorate, undertakes a review of this case to determine whether any additional action is required in the Regional District to facilitate culturally informed assessment, planning and intervention when working with Aboriginal families.
* That DCPFS considers the appropriateness of any further strategies and actions and their implementation for the Regional District, which considers the challenges in undertaking pre-birth planning in remote communities and engages with Aboriginal health service providers in the Regional District, to ensure the requirements of DOH’s *Bilateral Schedule: Interagency Collaborative Processes When an Unborn or Newborn Baby is Identified as at Risk of Abuse and/or Neglect (2014)* can be implemented across the Regional District.
* That DCPFS takes all reasonable steps to ensure that engagement with families is not focussed on 'single events' but adopts a 'holistic' child-centred approach to assessments of child safety and wellbeing concerns.
* That DCPFS takes all reasonable steps to achieve compliance with the administration of Safety and Wellbeing Assessments and use of the *Signs of Safety Child Protection Framework* when investigating allegations of neglect and assessing whether a child and/or unborn child is in need of protection within the meaning of sections 28 and 33A of the *Children and Community Services Act 2004*.
* That DCPFS takes all reasonable steps to achieve compliance with the administration of Safety and Wellbeing Assessments and use of *the Signs of Safety Child Protection Framework* when investigating allegations of physical abuse and assessing whether a child is in need of protection within the meaning of section 28 of the *Children and Community Services Act 2004*.
* That DCPFS considers, where appropriate, the provision of interim support to Districts where it is identified that workload management issues are preventing the management and allocation of cases on the Monitored List.
* That DOE takes all reasonable steps to achieve compliance with the development and implementation of documented attendance, behaviour management and education plans in accordance with procedural requirements included in the *Student Attendance* policy, *Behaviour Management in Schools* policy and *Documented Plans. Supporting Education for All. Guidelines for Implementing Documented Plans in Public Schools* policy.
* That DOE takes all reasonable steps to achieve compliance with the relevant guidelines related to attendance, learning, behaviour management and continuity of service provision.
* That DOE considers the development of guidelines and staff education related to recognising and responding to alleged drug and alcohol use by children as an indicator of cumulative harm associated with potential abuse and or/neglect warranting consultation with and/or referral to DCPFS.



The Ombudsman will actively monitor what steps have been taken to give effect to these recommendations. The results of this monitoring will be reported in the 2016-17 Annual Report.

# Further improvements in public administration arising from

**Ombudsman’s reviews**





**Child Death Review**

During the investigations of child death reviews, public authorities may, and do, voluntarily undertake to make improvements to public administration. The following is a summary of the voluntary actions by public authorities in 2015-16 arising from child death reviews (certain improvements may be de-identified to ensure strict confidentiality of the Ombudsman’s review process).

* Improve communication with, and education to, pool owners and builders about legislated pool fencing requirements.
* Improve building permit approval processes to increase compliance with legislated pool fencing requirements.
* Improve communication with, and education to, parents of infants on Safe Infant Sleeping practices and risks associated with sleep-related infant deaths.
* Improve guidance and training for staff to promote compliance with Safe Infant Sleeping policy requirements.
* Improve policy compliance to promote school participation.

# Timely handling of notifications and reviews

The Office places a strong emphasis on the timely review of child deaths. This ensures reviews contribute, in the most timely way possible, to the prevention or reduction of future deaths. In 2015-16, timely review processes have resulted in nearly three-quarters of all reviews being completed within six months.



**Major Own Motion Investigations arising from Child Death Reviews**

In addition to taking action on individual child deaths, the Office identifies patterns and trends arising out of child death reviews to inform major own motion investigations that examine the practices of public authorities that provide services to children and their families. In 2015-16, the Office undertook significant work on a major own motion investigation into ways to prevent or reduce child deaths by drowning. The report of this major own motion investigation will be tabled in Parliament in 2016-17.

The Office also actively monitors the implementation of recommendations from completed own motion investigations, including:


* [*Planning for children in care: An Ombudsman’s own motion investigation into the administration of the care planning provisions of the Children and Community Services Act 2004*](http://www.ombudsman.wa.gov.au/Publications/Documents/reports/OWA_Care_Planning_Report_Nov11.pdf), which was tabled in Parliament in November 2011;
* [*Investigation into ways that State Government departments can prevent or reduce sleep-related infant deaths*](http://www.ombudsman.wa.gov.au/Publications/Documents/reports/OWA-Sleep-related-infant-deaths-Report-71112.pdf)*,* which was tabled in Parliament in November 2012; and



* [*Investigation into ways that State government departments and authorities can prevent or reduce suicide by young people*](http://www.ombudsman.wa.gov.au/Publications/Documents/reports/Suicide-by-young-people/Ombudsman-WA-Suicide-by-Young-People-Investigation-Report-2014.pdf), which was tabled in Parliament in April 2014.

**Child Death Review**

Details of own motion investigations are provided in the Own Motion Investigations and Administrative Improvement section.




**Other mechanisms to prevent or reduce child deaths**

In addition to reviews of individual child deaths and major own motion investigations,

the Office uses a range of other mechanisms to improve public administration with a view to preventing or reducing child deaths. These include:

* Assisting public authorities by providing information about issues that have arisen from child death reviews, and enquiries and complaints received, that may need their immediate attention, including issues relating to the safety of a child or a child’s siblings;
* Through the Ombudsman’s Advisory Panel (**the Panel**), and other mechanisms, working with public authorities and communities where children may be at risk to consider child safety issues and potential areas for improvement, and highlight the critical importance of effective liaison and communication between and within public authorities and communities;
* Exchanging information with other accountability and oversight agencies including Ombudsmen in other States to facilitate consistent approaches and shared learning; and
* Undertaking or supporting research that may provide an opportunity to identify good practices that may assist in the prevention or reduction of child deaths.



**Stakeholder Liaison**

# The Department for Child Protection and Family Support

Efficient and effective liaison has been established with DCPFS to support the child death review process and objectives. Regular liaison occurs between the Ombudsman and the Director General of DCPFS, together with regular liaison at senior executive level, to discuss issues raised in child death reviews and how positive change can be achieved. Since the jurisdiction commenced, meetings with DCPFS’s staff have been held in all districts in the metropolitan area, and in regional and remote areas.

# The Ombudsman’s Advisory Panel

The Panel is an advisory body established to provide independent advice to the Ombudsman on:

* + Issues and trends that fall within the scope of the child death review function;
  + Contemporary professional practice relating to the wellbeing of children and their families; and



* + Issues that impact on the capacity of public sector agencies to ensure the safety and wellbeing of children.

**Child Death Review**

The Panel met four times in 2015-16 and during the year, the following members provided a range of expertise:

* + Professor Steve Allsop (Director, National Drug Research Institute of Curtin University);
  + Ms Jocelyn Jones (Health Sciences, Curtin University);
  + Professor Donna Chung (Head of the Department of Social Work, Curtin University);
  + Ms Dorinda Cox (Consultant);
  + Ms Angela Hartwig (Women’s Council for Domestic and Family Violence Services WA);
  + Ms Victoria Hovane (Consultant); and
  + Associate Professor Carolyn Johnson (School of Population Health, University of Western Australia).

Observers from the Department for Child Protection and Family Support, the Department of Health, the Department of Aboriginal Affairs, the Department of Education, the Department of Corrective Services, the Department of the Attorney General, the Mental Health Commission and Western Australia Police also attended the meetings.

# Key stakeholder relationships

There are a number of public authorities and other bodies that interact with, or deliver services to, children and their families. Important stakeholders with which the Office liaises as part of the child death review jurisdiction include:

* + The Coroner;
* Public authorities that have involvement with children and their families including:
* Department of Housing;
* Department of Health;
* Department of Education;
* Department of Corrective Services;
* Department of Aboriginal Affairs;
* The Mental Health Commission;
* Western Australia Police; and
* Other accountability and similar agencies including the Commissioner for Children and Young People;
* Non-government organisations; and
* Research institutions including universities.

A Memorandum of Understanding has been established by the Ombudsman with the Commissioner for Children and Young People and a letter of understanding has been established with the Coroner.



**Aboriginal and regional communities**

**Child Death Review**

In 2016, the Ombudsman appointed a Principal Aboriginal Liaison Officer to:

* Provide high level advice, assistance and support to the Corporate Executive and to staff conducting reviews and investigations of the deaths of certain Aboriginal children and family and domestic violence fatalities in Western Australia, complaints resolution involving Aboriginal people and own motion investigations; and
* Raise awareness of and accessibility to the Ombudsman’s roles and services to Aboriginal communities and support cross cultural communication between Ombudsman staff and Aboriginal people.

With the leadership and support of the Principal Aboriginal Liaison Officer significant work continued throughout the year to build relationships relating to the child death review jurisdiction with Aboriginal and regional communities, for example by communicating with:

* Key public authorities that work in regional areas;
* Non-government organisations that provide key services, such as health services to Aboriginal people; and
* Aboriginal community leaders to increase the awareness of the child death review function and its purpose.

Additional networks and contacts have been established to support effective and efficient child death reviews. This has strengthened the Office’s understanding and knowledge of the issues faced by Aboriginal and regional communities that impact on child and family wellbeing and service delivery in diverse and regional communities.

As part of this work, Office staff liaise with Aboriginal community leaders, Aboriginal Health Services, local governments, regional offices of Western Australia Police, DCPFS and community advocates.